

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/787,745	01/24/1997	JOHN B. HOEFLICH	29124-009	6904
75	90 04/02/2003			
FAY, SHARPE, BEALL, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE 1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SUITE 700			EXAMINER	
			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER	
CLEVELAND,	OH 441142518		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3711	00
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2003	29

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<b>₽</b>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/787,745	HOEFLICH ET AL	<del>-</del> .
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen L. Blau	3711	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover she	t with the correspondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, n ly within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 e, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timel ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	February 2003 .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ TI	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for forma Ex parte Quayle, 193	I matters, prosecution as to the 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ne merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-9 and 11-21</u> is/are pending in	the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •	1.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6 and 19-21</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,9,11 and 12</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requiremen	t.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	/	•	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen			•
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		<del></del>	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2)	(a)).	Stage
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).
a)  The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application h	as been received.	·
Attachment(s)	· •		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ce of Informal Patent Application (PT r:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- The changes to claims 7-9 and 11-21 are agreed with and the rejection under 35
   U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as stated in the Decision by the Board of Patent
   Appeals dated 23 December 2002 has been removed.

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: The word "pint" in line 9 appears to be misspelling of the word – point --. Appropriate correction is required. Claims 8-9 and 11-12 are objected for depending on a objected base claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 08/787,745

Art Unit: 3711

5. Claims 13-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vincent in view of Hogan.

Vincent discloses an elongated tubular shaft (Col. 5, Lns. 65-66) comprising a plurality of layers of fibers embedded in a synthetic resin (Col. 5, Ln. 66 through Col. 6, Lns. 13), a butt section having a diameter between .400 and .540 inches in the form of 12.1 mm (Example 2), a butt section which transitions without intervening discontinuities in the form of the outer surface shape to a tapered intermediate section, a tapered intermediate section transitioning without intervening discontinuities in the form of a outer surface shape to a relatively smaller diameter tip section (Figs. 3, 6), a tip section including a portion having an outside diameter adapted to be fitted to the hosel of a club head, a tip section including parallel sidewalls (Col. 5, Lns. 57-60), a butt section diameter displacing a kick point above a center of a golf club shaft (Fig. 3), a shaft having a conventional shape being truncated along the greater portion of its length (Col. 5, Lns. 55-58), a shaft 41 inches in length (Example 2), and a butt diameter of .476 inches (12.1mm) (Example 2).

Vincent lacks a butt section comprising parallel sidewalls. Hogan discloses a butt section having parallel sidewalls (Fig. 2). In view of the patent of Vincent it would have been obvious to modify the shaft of Vincent to have a butt section having parallel side walls in order to have a similar feel along the butt section when griped by a player at different points.

Art Unit: 3711

6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vincent in view of Hogan as applied to claims 13-16 and 18 above, and further in view of Kobayashi and Turner.

Vincent discloses a butt diameter of .476 inches (12.1mm) (Example 2).

Vincent lacks a butt diameter between .450 to .475 inches. Kobayashi discloses a player weak in strength requiring a more flexible shaft (Col. 1, Lns. 13-36). Turner discloses making a more flexible shaft by decreasing the outer diameter of a shaft (Col. 8, Ln. 63 through Col. 9, Ln. 4). In view of the patent of Kobayashi and Turner it would have been obvious to modify the shaft of Vincent to have a shaft with a butt diameter of .474 inches in order to provide a more flexible shaft to a weaker player who swings a shaft at a slower speed.

7. Claims 13-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akatsuka (5,437,450) in view of Hogan and Iwanaga.

Akatsuka discloses an elongated tubular shaft (Col. 5, Lns. 62-68) comprising a plurality of layers of fibers embedded in a synthetic resin (Figure, Col. 4 Lns. 24-35, Col. 5 Lns. 1-7), a butt section having a diameter between .400 and .540 inches in the form of a grip end inside diameter of 11.5-14.5 mm with a wall thickness of .5-2 mm (Col. 5, Lns. 62-68), a butt section transitioning without intervening discontinuities to a tapered intermediate section, a tapered intermediate section tapering without intervening discontinuities to a relatively smaller diameter tip section in a form of an outer diameter gradually increasing form one end adjacent to a tip end of a shaft to an other end

adjacent to a grip end of a shaft (Col. 3 Lns. 56-60), a tip section including a portion having an outside diameter adapted to be fitted to the hosel of a club head in the form of an outer diameter size of a tip end (Col. 5, Lns. 62-68), and a shaft length of 45 inches (Col. 7, Ln. 34).

Akatsuka lacks a butt section comprising a substantially cylindrical cross section and a kick point above a center of a shaft.

Hogan discloses a butt section and tip section having parallel sidewalls (Fig. 2). In view of the patent of Hogan it would have been obvious to modify the shaft of Akatsuka to have a butt section having parallel side walls in order to have a similar feel along the butt section when griped by a player at different points. In addition, in view of the patent of Hogan it would have been obvious to modify the shaft of Akatsuka to have a tip section having parallel side walls in order to facilitate the adaptation of inserting a tip section of a shaft into a neck of a head.

Iwanaga discloses a shaft having a kick point above a center point of a shaft (Fig. 2) for a greater number club in order to ensure controlled swing for exact drop point of a ball (Col. 1, Lns. 41-51). In view of the patent of Iwanaga it would have been obvious to modify the shaft of Akatsuka to have a kick point above the center point of a shaft in order to provide a shaft for a club with a greater number which requires a more controlled swing for exact drop point of a ball.

Application/Control Number: 08/787,745 Page 6

Art Unit: 3711

8. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Akatsuka (5,437,450) in view of Hogan and Iwanga as applied to claims 13-16 and 18 above, and further in view of Kobayashi and Turner.

Akatsuka discloses a butt diameter of .492 inches (12.5mm) (Col. 5, Lns. 66-68).

Akatsuka lacks a butt diameter between .450 to .475 inches. Kobayashi discloses a player weak in strength requiring a more flexible shaft (Col. 1, Lns. 13-36). Turner discloses making a more flexible shaft by decreasing the outer diameter of a shaft (Col. 8, Ln. 63 through Col. 9, Ln. 4). In view of the patent of Kobayashi and Turner it would have been obvious to modify the shaft of Akatsuka to have a shaft with a butt diameter of .474 inches in order to provide a more flexible shaft to a weaker player who swings a shaft at a slower speed.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 1-3, 5-6, and 19-21 are allowed.
- 10. Claims 7-9 and 11-12 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection set forth in this Office action.

# Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 08/787,745

Art Unit: 3711

11. The argument that claims 13-18 should be allowable due the reasoning of the

Board of not agreeing with the Examiner's conclusion with respect to the ranges of the

Page 7

butt end of claim 1 is disagreed with. The Board disagreed with the examiner's butt

diameter range due to the claimed tip range diameter in claim 1 and what Akatsuka

disclosed for a tip diameter range correlated with the butt diameter range. Claims 13-18

include no range for a tip diameter.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (703) 308-2712.

The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the

examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Paul Sewell whose

telephone number is (703) 308-2126. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the

status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone

number is (703) 308-0858.

Slb 27 March 2003

STEPHEN BLAU PRIMARY EXAMINE